

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

Federal Trade Commission

Plaintiff,

v.

Innovative Marketing, Inc., *et al.*

Defendants,

AND

Maurice D'Souza

Relief Defendant.


CIVIL NO. RDB 08-CV-3233

FILED ENTERED  
LOGGED RECEIVED  
DEC 15 2008  
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND  
DEPUTY

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY DEFENDANTS SAM JAIN, KRISTY ROSS,  
JAMES RENO, BYTEHOSTING INTERNET SERVICES, LLC, MARC D'SOUZA AND  
INNOVATIVE MARKETING SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT**

Upon consideration of the FTC's Motion for an Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Sam Jain, Kristy Ross, James Reno, ByteHosting Internet Services, Marc D'Souza and Innovative Marketing, Inc., Should Not Be Held In Contempt of Court, it is this 12<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2008, hereby

**ORDERED**, that Defendants Kristy Ross, James Reno, ByteHosting Internet Services LLC, Marc D'Souza and Innovative Marketing, Inc., shall, within five (5) days of entry of this Order, submit to this Court all evidence, if any, demonstrating why they should not be held in contempt of court for failure to comply with the Temporary Restraining Order entered by this Court on December 2, 2008.

  
Richard D. Bennett  
United States District Judge

cert  
C. C. N. S.  
12/12/08